



Legal Document

Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
Case No. CGC 00 310481
Carolina Casualty Vs The Scott Companies

Document 71



View Document



View Docket



San Francisco Superior Courts
Information Technology Group

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Sep-15-2004 4:12 pm

Case Number: CGC-00-310481

Filing Date: Sep-15-2004 4:11

Juke Box: 001 Image: 01040264

STIP AND ORDER

CAROLINA CASUALTY VS THE SCOTT COMPANIES

001C01040264

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 15 2004

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
BY: Marta Vallejo
Deputy Clerk

STEPHEN L. PORTER - SBN 067177
TERRY M. GORDON - SBN 75604
WHITEHEAD, PORTER & GORDON LLP
220 Montgomery Street, Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 781-6070
Facsimile: (415) 788-6521

Attorneys for Defendants
The Scott Companies, Inc., Scott Company of California, Scott-
Norman Mechanical, Inc., Joseph Guglielmo, Robert Nurisso,
Richard Davis

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA - UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CAROLINA CASUALTY COMPANY,
Plaintiff,

vs.

THE SCOTT COMPANIES, INC., SCOTT
COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, NORMAN
PLUMBING, INC., SCOTT-NORMAN
MECHANICAL, INC., ALVIN NORMAN
AND JACQUELINE NORMAN, JOSEPH
GUGLIELMO, ROBERT NURISSO,
RICHARD DAVIS, and ROES 1-100,

Defendants.

Case No. 310481

**STIPULATION TO TERMINATE THE
STAY OF THE ACTION; ORDER**

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, between the parties to this action, as follows:

1. As the underlying litigation entitled *City and County of San Francisco, et al. v. Scott Company of California, et al.*, Santa Clara Superior Court No. CV 787323, has concluded, the stay of this action should be terminated.

///

///

///

///

///

2. The defendants' responsive pleading to the complaint herein will be due thirty (30) days following receipt of an order which terminates the stay.

Dated: August 17, 2004

LONG & LEVIT

By: Howard Garfield
Howard Garfield

Attorneys for Plaintiff CAROLINA CASUALTY
COMPANY

Dated: August __, 2004

CAMPAGNOLI, ABELSON & CAMPAGNOLI

By: _____
Mark B. Abelson

Attorneys for Defendants ALVIN P. NORMAN,
JACQUELINE NORMAN and AL NORMAN
PLUMBING, INC.

Dated: August __, 2004

WHITEHEAD, PORTER & GORDON LLP

By: _____
Stephen L. Porter

Attorneys for Defendants THE SCOTT
COMPANIES, INC., SCOTT COMPANY OF
CALIFORNIA, SCOTT-NORMAN
MECHANICAL, INC., JOSEPH GUGLIELMO,
ROBERT NURISSE and RICHARD DAVIS

ORDER

In accordance with the foregoing stipulation, and good cause existing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the stay of this action is hereby TERMINATED.

Defendants shall file responsive pleadings no later than thirty (30) days after receipt of this order.

DATED: _____

Judge of the Superior Court

2. The defendants' responsive pleading to the complaint herein will be due thirty (30) days following receipt of an order which terminates the stay.

Dated: August __, 2004

LONG & LEVIT

By: Howard Garfield

Attorneys for Plaintiff CAROLINA CASUALTY
COMPANY

Dated: August B, 2004

CAMPAGNOLI, ABELSON & CAMPAGNOLI

By: Mark B. Abelson

Attorneys for Defendants ALVIN P. NORMAN,
JACQUELINE NORMAN and AL NORMAN
PLUMBING, INC.

Dated: August __, 2004

WHITEHEAD, PORTER & GORDON LLP

By: Stephen L. Porter

Attorneys for Defendants THE SCOTT
COMPANIES, INC., SCOTT COMPANY OF
CALIFORNIA, SCOTT-NORMAN
MECHANICAL, INC., JOSEPH GUGLIELMO,
ROBERT NURISSO and RICHARD DAVIS

ORDER

In accordance with the foregoing stipulation, and good cause existing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the stay of this action is hereby TERMINATED.

Defendants shall file responsive pleadings no later than thirty (30) days after receipt of this order.

DATED: _____

Judge of the Superior Court

WHITEHEAD, PORTER & GORDON LLP
220 Montgomery Street, Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94104

1 2. The defendants' responsive pleading to the complaint herein will be due thirty (30)
2 days following receipt of an order which terminates the stay.

3
4 Dated: August __, 2004


LONG & LEVIT

5
6 By: _____
Howard Garfield

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff CAROLINA CASUALTY
8 COMPANY

9 Dated: August 23, 2004

CAMPAGNOLI, ABELSON & CAMPAGNOLI

10
11 By: 
Mark B. Abelson

12 Attorneys for Defendants ALVIN P. NORMAN,
13 JACQUELINE NORMAN and AL NORMAN
PLUMBING, INC.

14 Dated: August 23, 2004

WHITEHEAD, PORTER & GORDON LLP

15
16 By: 
Stephen L. Porter

17 Attorneys for Defendants THE SCOTT
18 COMPANIES, INC., SCOTT COMPANY OF
19 CALIFORNIA, SCOTT-NORMAN
MECHANICAL, INC., JOSEPH GUGLIELMO,
ROBERT NURISSO and RICHARD DAVIS

20
21 **ORDER**

22 In accordance with the foregoing stipulation, and good cause existing,

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the stay of this action is hereby TERMINATED.

24 Defendants shall file responsive pleadings no later than thirty (30) days after receipt of this order.

25
26 DATED: _____

Judge of the Superior Court

1 2. The defendants' responsive pleading to the complaint herein will be due thirty (30)
2 days following receipt of an order which terminates the stay.

3
4 Dated: August __, 2004

LONG & LEVIT

5
6 By: _____
Howard Garfield

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff CAROLINA CASUALTY
8 COMPANY

9 Dated: August 23, 2004

CAMPAGNOLI, ABELSON & CAMPAGNOLI

10
11 By: Mark B. Abelson
Mark B. Abelson

12 Attorneys for Defendants ALVIN P. NORMAN,
13 JACQUELINE NORMAN and AL NORMAN
PLUMBING, INC.

14 Dated: August 23, 2004

WHITEHEAD, PORTER & GORDON LLP

15
16 By: Stephen L. Porter
Stephen L. Porter

17 Attorneys for Defendants THE SCOTT
18 COMPANIES, INC., SCOTT COMPANY OF
19 CALIFORNIA, SCOTT-NORMAN
20 MECHANICAL, INC., JOSEPH GUGLIELMO,
21 ROBERT NURISSO and RICHARD DAVIS

22 **ORDER**

23 In accordance with the foregoing stipulation, and good cause existing,

24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the stay of this action is hereby TERMINATED.

25 Defendants shall file responsive pleadings no later than thirty (30) days after receipt of this order.

26 DATED: 9/15/04

27 James L. Warren
Judge of the Superior Court

28 JAMES L. WARREN bm

WHITEHEAD, PORTER & GORDON LLP
220 Montgomery Street, Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94104